Delta J.Sci.(11)(3)1987, 1254-1268

THE EFFECT OF SOME PESTICIDES ON THE BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS
OF THE PREDATORY MITE AMBLYSEIUS GOSSIPI EL-BADRY

#### BY

E.M.Zanaty\* , M.S.Tadros\*\* , and A.E.El-Sherbeni\*\*

\* Dept. of Biology, Faculty of Education, Kafr El-Sheikh

\*\* Dept. of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture,

University of Tanta

Received: 28.12.1987

#### **ABSTRACT**

The effects of 5 pesticides on both  $\underline{A}$ . gossipi El-Badry and Tetranychus cucurbitacearum (Sayed) were investigated. The LC<sub>50</sub> values of the selected pesticides on both predator and prey were evaluated.

Results indicated that Neoron was the most toxic compound to  $\underline{T}$ .cucubitacearum, ( $LC_{50}$ =19 mg/kg) and was the least toxic to predatory mite,  $\underline{A}$ . gossipi, ( $LC_{50}$ =250 mg/kg). The other insecticides applied were different in their toxicity. They were always more toxic to the predator. All pesticide application affected  $\underline{A}$ . gossipi adults. A decrease of egg laying capacity, hatchability and food consumption was clear. On the other hand, an increase happened in both egg incubation period, larval and nymphal stages. The measure of biological parameters indicated most toxicity to Baythroid and least to Sumicidin, Lannate and Dursban came in between.

### INTRODUCTION

Amblyseius gossipi El-Badry is considered as one of the most effective predacious mites controlling many

Tetranychid mites [1,2]. The widespread applications of pesticides upset the natural balance of organisms in nature. Therefore, it was essential to investigate the effect of some pesticides on both predatory and phytophagous mites. The direct effect of pesticides was extensively studied by many authors [3,4]. Scanty knowledge is recorded about the effect of pesticides on the biological aspects of predator after application.

The present work aimed to study the direct effect of some pesticides on both predacious and phytophagous mites. It was also our purpose to find out the indirect effect of the applied toxic compounds on the biological aspects of the predacious mite, <u>A.gossipi</u>. Recommendations for the best and most practical control of the phytophagous mite, <u>Tetranychus cucurbitacearum</u> would be pointed out.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## a- <u>Culture</u>:

Individuals of <u>Tetranychus cucurbitacearum</u> (Sayed) were collected from a field cultivated with cotton and were cultured in the laboratory on leaves of sweet-potatoes. On the same sampling date, and from the same field, females of the predatory mite, <u>Amblyseius gossipi</u> El-Badry were also collected and reared artificially on castor pollens as described by Zanaty [5] and were used in this study.

### b- <u>Pesticides used</u>:

Five pesticides were selected for the present investigation: Four insecticides (Sumicidin, Bythroid, Lannate and Dursban), and only one acaricide (Neoron).

Chlorpyrifos: 0,0-diethyl-O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate, (Dursban 48%, EC, 1.0 1/fed).

Cyfloxilate: Cyano (4-fluoro-3-phenoxyphenyl) methyl 3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylate, (Bythroid 5%, EC, 0.75 1/fed).

Fenvalerate: Cyano(3-phenoxypheny1) methyl 4-chloro alpha (1-methylethy1) benzeneacetate, (Sumicidin 20%, EC, 0.6 1/fed).

Methomyl: 1-(methylthio) acetaldehyde 0-methylcarbamoyloxime, (Lannate, 22.5%, WSC, 1.25 1/fed).

## c- Pesticide application methods:

A glasss atomizer was used for spraying the different concentrations of each selected pesticide on sweet-

-potato leaf discs. The latters were circled with tangle foot (natural canada balsam, castor and citronella oils) to avoid prey or predator escape. Individuals of prey or predator were introduced to each concentration (5 concentrations by 4 replicates for each). The discs were put separately in Petri dishes provided with cotton saturated with water and covered with a filter paper. Control dishes were sprayed with water only. The treated samples were kept in an incubator under average temperature of  $28 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 60% R.H. Counts were made after 24 hours of application to find out the mortality percentage. Corrections were made by using Abbott's formula [6] and the LC and slope values for each toxicant were calculated.

To study both contact and stomach effect of the pesticides on some biological aspects of the predatory mite, the following methods were used :

### i- Contact effect:

A group of 20 predatory adult female mites that survived after 24 hours of application with the  $\rm LC_{50}$  concentration were isolated. Every mite was put separately over a disc of sweet-potato plant as described previously. Ten adults of non-treated preys of spider mites were introduced daily to each separate female predator for a period of 7 days.

#### ii- Stomach effect:

The LC  $_{50}$  concentration of each toxicant was applied to the prey,  $\underline{T}$ . cucurbitacearum. Ten of the survivals were introduced daily to each non-treated female predator (20 replicates) for a period of 7 days. This test was done using an incubator set on  $(28 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C})$  and 60-70% R.H.).Observations concerning egg laying, incubation period, egg viability, duration of food consumption were recorded. Toxicity to the immature stages were recorded by transferring each hatched larvae to a new Petri dish where the mortality recordes were taken.

#### RESULTS

### a- <u>Pesticide toxicity to predator and prey</u>:

From the obtained results (Table 1), it may be concluded that Neoron was the most toxic compound to the prey,  $\underline{T}$ .cucurbitacearum (LC<sub>50</sub> = 19 mg/kg) and the least toxic to the predatory mite,  $\underline{A}$ .gossipi (LC<sub>50</sub> = 250 mg/kg) whereas the other applied pesticides varied in toxicity and were always more toxic to the predator than the prey. Lannate was found to be the most toxic insecticide against the predator (LC<sub>50</sub> = 5 mg/kg), it was ineffective against the prey (LC<sub>50</sub> = 125000 mg/kg). With regard to, the slope values, they were comparatively lower for Neoron and Dursban (0.88 and 0.99, respectively) for the prey population, while the predator population showed high slope

values to Neoron and Dursban (2.20 and 2.38).

b- Effect of  $LC_{50}$  on the biological aspects of the predator: i- The contact and stomach effects of the  $LC_{50}$  concentration for each applied pesticide on egg laying capacity, incubation period and hatchability of eggs are shown in Table (2).

Results indicated that egg laying capacity decreased from 1.58 egg/female/day, for control, to 0.7 and 0.05 egg/female/day for contact and stomach applications of Baythroid and Lannate respectively, whereas other pesticides varied in their effect. Statistical analysis proved significant differences among the high and low effective pesticides. The incubation period of eggs increased in all cases and reached its maximum length in Neoron (contact) and Bythroid, respectively. The percentage of hatched eggs decreased from 96.38% (control) to its maximum in Baythroid (70%) and Dursban (70.83) for contact and stomach applications, respectively.

ii- The duration and mortality of both larval and nymphal stages produced from hatched eggs are shown in Table (3).

Results showed that, the duration of larvae increased from 1-10 days, for control, to a maximum of 1.66 days for Lannate and 1.63 days for Bythroid for contact and

stomach applications, respectively. The same trend was observed in the nymphal stage. The nymphal period reached its maximum in Baythroid and Sumicidin for contact and stomach treatments, respectively. The lethal effect of the applied toxicants was continuous and affected the larval stage. The Sumicidin and Lannate were the most toxic materials against the larval stage (35.70 and 40.00% for Sumicidin and Lannate respectively). On the other hand, nymphs survived the effect of all the five applied compounds.

iii- Effect of pesticides on predation capacity.

It was clear from Table (1) that predation capacity decreased from 6.32 prey/female/day for control to 1.32 for Bythroid and 2.14 for Neoron in contact and stomach applications, respectively, and these two compounds were considered as the most toxic chemicals. On the other hand, Neoron (4.11 prey/female/day) and Lannate (4.21 prey/female/day) were considered as the most safe materials for contact and stomach applications, respectively. The statistical analysis indicated significant differences between high and low toxic compounds and control.

The results also showed that Dursban and Neoron had strong contact effect on the adult female of predators:
during the first week after treatment. Results also indicated that Dursban was the most toxic compound in case of

stomach application (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In the course of the present investigation Neoron was the most toxic compound to T.cucurbitacearum (Sayed) and at the same time was the least toxic chemical to the predatory mite, A. gossipi El-Badry. The other four insecticides applied varied in their toxicity and were always more toxic to the predator than the prey, specially Lannate which was very harmful to the predator and has negligeble effect on the prey. Similar conclusions were reported by Zohdy et al.[4]. They mentioned that the insecticide Lannate was the most toxic material to the predatory mite, A.gossipi, whereas the acaricides Acar and Kalthane were the least toxic compounds. Thus, Neoron can be used safely for the control of the phytophagous mite without any harm to the predator. Regarding the slope values, the comparatively lower values obtained with Neoron and Dursban indicate a heterogenecity of the population of T.cucurbitacearum in response to the two chemicals with possibly resistance built up with the continuous use of these compounds. The population of the predatory mite, A.gossipi showed less heterogenecity in response to Neoron and Dursban with high slope values (2.20 and 2.38).

Contact or stomach application of the  $LC_{50}$  of the app-

lied pesticidess caused a reasonable harmful effect on the biology and population density of the predatory mite. The predacious efficiency of the predator was negatively affected by using the pesticides. Similar results were obtained by some investigators [7,8 and 9]. These authors used various pesticides against <u>Amblysieus exertus</u>, <u>A.brazilli</u> and <u>A.gossipi</u>.

From the results obtained in the course of the present investigation, it could be concluded that when using a specific acaricide against phytophagous mites, it is recommended to apply the toxic compounds at a time when the population of the predatory mite is very low, to avoid direct suppression in population and harmful effects on the biology of the survived individuals.

#### REFERENCES

- 1- E1-Badry, E.A.(1974). Egg laying magnitude as influenced by rate of prey consumption in two predaceous mites. Proc. 4th International Congress of Acarology: 653 656.
- 2- Smith, J.C., and L.D.Newson (1970). Laboratory evaluation of <a href="Mailto:Amblyseius fallacis">Amblyseius fallacis</a> as a predator of Tetranychid mites. J. Econ. Entomol. 63: 1876 1878.
- 3- Croft, B.A., and D.L.McGroatry (1973). A model of study of acaricide resistance, spider mite outbreaks,

Delta J.Sci.(11)(3)1987

The effect of some pesticides ....

- and biological control patterns in Michigan apple orchards. Environ. Entomol., 89:289-308.
- 4- Zohdy, G.I., H.S.Radwan, M.R.Abo El-Ghar, and S.M.AboTaka(1981). The toxic effect of some pesticides on adults females of the predatory mite,

  <u>Aamblyseius gossipi</u> El-Badry. Proc.4th Arab
  Pesti. Conf. Tanta Univ. I: 137 146.
- 5- Zanaty, E.M.(1987). The effect of diet on the biology and development of <u>Amblyseius gossipi</u> E1-Badry. Sci. J. Fac. Sci. Monoufia Univ. Vol. 1, No. 1: 216 223.
- 6- Abbott, W.S. (1925). A method of comparing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265 267.
- 7- Hassan, S.M., G.I. Zohdy, E.A. El-Badry, and M.R. AboEl-Ghar (1970). The effect of certain acaricide on the predaceous mite, <u>Agastanus exertus</u>.
  Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypt, 54: 213 217.
- 8- El-Banhawy, E.M. (1975). Biology and feeding behaviour of the predatory mite, <a href="Mailto:Amblyseius brazilli">Amblyseius brazilli</a> (Mesostigmata: phytosciidae). Entomophaga, 20: 353 360.
- 9- Osman, A.A., G.I. Zohdy, and F.M. Moman (1979). **Effect**of some pesticides on the food requirements
  and its toxicity to the predatory mite, <u>Ambl-yseius gossipi</u> El-Badry. Proc. 3rd Pesticide
  Conf. Tanta Univ. III;: 513 -518.

Duraban

18.57-36.80

7.78 - 13.5

0.88

0.99

219.3-286.1

9.05 - 39.9

Keoron

250

Beythroid

10

1300

5.88-17.00

828 - 2041

0.99

1.19

Prey

Lannete Sumicidin Insecticide Table (1): Direct toxicity of five compounds on the predatory mite,
Amblysieus gossipi and its prey Tetranychus cucurbitacearum. Predetor 5 125000 1300 Preg 2.80-9.10 6.67-21.60 Predator C.F. 54347.8-287500 778.4-2171 Prey Predator 1.66

M Confidence limits.

Delta J.Sci.(11)(3)1987

Table (2	): Contac hatch	Table (2): Contact and stomach effect of five pesticides on fecundity, incubation period hatchability of Amblysieus gossipi.	mach effe Amblysie	ct of five	pestici	des on fe	cundity,	incubstion	n period	e Puse
Pesticide	Totsl no eggs/20 females	number of 20 es/week	Mean number eggs laid/ female/day	ber of d/ ay	Mean number of incubation period(day)	ber of on day)	Number 6: larvee/2 females	Number of hatched larvae/20 females	Percentage o hatchability	ility
	Contect	Stomach	Contact	Stomech	Contact	Stomach	Contact	Stomech	Contact	Stomech
Sumicidia	18	rf rf	0.13	0.08	1.93	1.85	14	97	77.70	81.81
Dursban	. 52	24	0.18	0.17	1.43	1.65	19	17	76.00	70.83
Baythroid	10	15	0.07	11.0	2.07	1.94	ω	12	70.00	80.00
Lennete	22	7	0.16	0.05	1.38	1.77	17	ī.	77.27	71.24
Neoron	31	18	0.22	0.13	2.13	1.84	25	13	80.64	72.22
Control	221	221	1.58	1.58	1.24	1.24	213	213	96.38	96.38
L.S.D.0.05	12.60 9.80	50.40 36.40	0.09	0.36	0.23	0.15	6.18 8.55	7.40	F 1	• •

+3 m tr 1-1 m	Table (3): Contact and stomach effect of some pesticides on duration and mortality	ct end sto	mach effe	ct of some	pesticid:	es on dure	tion and a	mortelity
	02 18	rvae end n	Ompan of	of larvae and nympus or succession		Kowtelity	<b>김</b>	
	Ave. age	Average of duration in days	on in day	CS .	7		Nymphs	p ts
	PBAIBG	B (1)	Nymphs	phs	221534	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		c+omech
test to the	Contact	Contact Stomech	Contact	Contact Stomach	Contact Stomach	Stomach	Contact atomach	Stomacn
			36	4.56	35.70	33 <b>.3</b> 0	0.0	0.0
Sumiciata	+ • • • •	•		; !	3	00.00	0.0	0.0
Dursban	1.28	1.34	3.92	0.11			) )	) )
# F 10 10	1.50	1.63	4.44	4.26	25.00	16.60		
	•		4.12	4.02	23.50	40.00	0.0	0.0
Lannate	<b>⊢.</b> 00	÷.	1	ì	•	30 70	0,0	0.0
Neoron	1.34	1.53	3.78	4.47	10.00	, ,	, <u>,</u>	) )
Control	1.10	1.10	3.12	3.12	0.40	•	•	•
L.S.D. 0.05	0.10	0.05	0.11	0.05	1 1	1 1	1 1	 !
		1						

+) argar	A P	Amblysieus	gossipi.	10 • 00 = 10	repre (4); contact and stomach errect or some pestititues on prederiou capacity or Amblysteus gossipi.	To santa	1011 and 14	6-100400	, j
		Mean number of	lber of	Mean number of	ber of	Mortel1	Mortelity predators during week	ors during	week
Pesticide		consumed preys/ female/week	preys/	consumed preys, female/day	preys/ ay	Number	ħ	Perc	Percentage
		Contact	Contact Stomach	Contact	Contact Stomach	Contact	Contact Stomach	Contact	Stomach
Sunicidia		23.50	16.81	3.36	2.32	9	4	30.0	- 20-0
Dursben		12.25	19.00	1.75	2.72	ω	σ	40.0	45.0
Baythroid		9.25	21.25	1.32	3.04	ις	7	25.0	35.0
Lennete		19.25	29.46	2.75	4.21	2	σ	25.0	40.0
Neoron		28.75	15.00	4.11	2.14	8	α	40.0	30.0
Control		44.25	44.25	6.32	6.32	04	cu	10.0	10.0
L.S.D. 0	0.05	4.10	3.18	0.58	0.45	; 1	1 6	1 1	1.1

# تأثير بعض مبينات الآقات على المجالات البيولوجيه للحلم الخترس <u>A. gossipi</u>

ا الله اعتماد نناتى ، ا الله محسن شكرى ، د اعبدالحكيم الشربينى كلية التربية \_ جامعة طنطا ( كغر الشيخ) كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة طنطا ( كغر الشيخ)

عنيت هذه الدراسة اساسا بالتأثير الذي تحدثه خمسة مبيدات علي الحلم المفترس A. gossipi وعلى العنكبوت الأحمر ، أيضا قيم الدروي المجميع المبيدات المختبره بالنسبه لكل من المفترس والغريسه قد تم تقديرها ــ والنتائج المتحصل عليها يمكن تلخيصها في الآتي :\_

- ا\_ لوحظ أن مبيد النيورون كان أشد المبيدات سميه للعنكبوت الأحمر  $LC_{50}=19~mg/kg)$  للمغترس  $LC_{50}=250~mg/kg)$
- ۲ـ باقی المبیدات اختلفت وتباینت فی درجه سمیتها ـ ولکنها وبصفه عامه
   کانت أکثر ضررا بالمفترس٠
- ٣\_ كل المبيدات المختبره أضرت بالأطوار الكاملة وغير الكاملة للمغترس ـ حيث تسببت في انخفاض كفاءه وضع البيض ، وفي انخفاض نسبة الفقس وايضا في معدل استهلاك المفترس للغريسة.
  - ٤- أشارت نتائج القياسات الحيوية المستخدمة في هذا البحث الى أن مبيد البايثرويد كان أعظم المبيدات ضررا في حين أن مبيد السوميسيدين كان أقلهم خطرا على المفترس هذا وقد أحدث مبينى اللانيت و الدورسبان تأثيرا يتوسط في قوته ( مقدار الضرر) التثير الضار للمبيدين السابقيين و السابقيين السابقين السابقين السابقين السابقيين السابقين السابقين